One Company One Call

May 24, 2013

Mr. Justin Park
Project Manager
The Wieland-Davco Corporation
4162 English Oak Drive
Lansing, Michigan 48911
Email: Justin.park@wieland-davco.com
Phone: 517-372-8650

Re: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment
Commercial Property
3303 and 3355 Via Lido
Newport Beach, California
PSI Project Number: 0559951
Dear Mr. Park:
Professional Services Industries (PSI) is pleased to report our findings of the Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) conducted at the abovementioned subject property. This assessment was conducted in general accordance with PSI proposal number 559-92657r and Wieland-Davco change order number 002-07, dated May 22, 2013. Below is a summary of our project understanding, field activities, laboratory analyses, and conclusions and recommendations.

## PROJECT UNDERSTANDING

In August of 2012, PSI conducted a review of a previous environmental assessment conducted at the subject property. PSI's review identified the following evidence of a recognized environmental condition at the subject property.

- The northwest adjoining property is developed with a dry cleaner; and according to personnel, dry cleaning is performed on-site. Based on the inherent environmental risk associated with this type of business, the adjacent dry cleaner is considered to represent a recognized environmental condition (REC) in connection with the subject property.

PSI recommended that a Phase II ESA be conducted to determine if the subject property has been negatively impacted from the previously mentioned recognized environmental condition.

## FIELD ACTIVITIES

Drilling and sampling operations were directed by a PSI field supervisor, and all field personnel were OSHA trained in accordance with 29 CFR 1910.120. Prior to subsurface drilling activities, PSI notified utility service alert in accordance with local practices. Equipment decontamination, sample collection, field documentation, sample custody, and laboratory analyses were performed in general accordance with methods prescribed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

## Preliminary Activities and Geophysical Survey

Prior to the start of fieldwork, PSI conducted a site walk to mark proposed boring locations and prepared a Health and Safety Plan. PSI notified Underground Service Alert (USA) at least 48-hours prior to any fieldwork to identify underground public utilities in the vicinity of the site.

Additionally, PSI contracted a private geophysical survey firm to survey the parking lot of the subject property to independently clear the boring locations.

## Sampling Activities

Direct-push drilling equipment, operated by Strongarm Environmental Field Services of Norwalk, California, was used to collect soil vapor, soil, and groundwater samples from three boring locations (B1, B2, and B3) in the northwest portion of the property along the property line (see attached figure). Soil vapor samples were collected from a depth of four feet below ground surface (ftbgs), soil samples were collected from 5 ftbgs, and groundwater was collected from approximately 7 ftbgs in each boring. All samples were placed in a cooler for transport to the laboratory under chain of custody protocol.

All soil samples were observed for lithology and screened in the field using a photoionization detector (PID); soil boring logs are attached for reference. The soils at the subject property were observed to primarily consist of a mixture of beach sands and silty sands. Groundwater was encountered at approximately 7 ftbgs in each boring. Based on field observations and PID readings, one sample from each boring was selected for laboratory analysis.

Following completion of soil and groundwater sampling, a soil vapor probe was installed at each of the soil boring locations. All probes were installed at a depth of approximately 4 ftbgs. PSI collected a soil vapor sample at each location using a tedlar bag and vacuum box.

Following completion of all sampling activities, all boring locations were backfilled with hydrated bentonite chips and resurfaced to match existing conditions.

## LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Soil vapor, soil, and groundwater samples were analyzed by Calscience Environmental Laboratories, Inc. of Garden Grove, California. Soil vapor samples were analyzed for chlorinated solvents using USEPA Method TO-15. Selected soil samples and groundwater samples were analyzed for chlorinated solvents by USEPA Method 8260.

Analytical results indicate that chlorinated solvent concentrations are below the laboratory reporting limits and regulatory limits for all the samples tested. A laboratory report, along with chain of custody documentation, is attached.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Laboratory analyses of the soil vapor, soil, and groundwater samples tested did not detect concentrations of chlorinated solvents above the laboratory reporting limit or regulatory limit.

Based on the finding and conclusions presented in this assessment, no further assessment is recommended at this time.

## STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION

The information provided in this report prepared by PSI, Project Number 0559951, is intended exclusively for Wieland-Davco as it pertains to the subject property located at 3303 and 3355 Via Lido in Newport Beach, California, at the time and place the activities were conducted. The professional services provided have been performed with the signed project agreement and in accordance with practices generally accepted by other appropriate environmental professionals, geologists, engineers, hydrologists, hydrogeologists, and environmental scientists practicing in this field. No other warranty, either expressed or implied, is made. As with all investigations, there is no guarantee that the work conducted has identified any and all sources or locations of petroleum hydrocarbons or hazardous substances or chemicals.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact us at (714) 484-8600.

Respectfully submitted,
PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC.


Eric Fraske, PE
Project Manager


Lloyd Guss, PG
Principal Consultant

Attachments: Figure
Boring Logs
Laboratory Report


## SOIL BORING LOG



## SOIL BORING LOG

| BORING/PIT NO: |  | B2 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| SHEET $1 \quad$ OF | 1 |  |
| PROJECT NO: 0559951 |  |  |



## SOIL BORING LOG

BORING/PIT NO: B3
SHEET 1 OF 1


# $\backslash$ CALSCIENCE WORK ORDER NUMBER: 13-05-1604 

## The difference is service



## Analytical Report For

Client: PSI
Client Project Name: Via Lido, Newport Bch. / 559
Attention: Eric Fraske
6330 Gateway Drive, Suite B Cypress, CA 90630-4844


## Approved for release on 05/23/2013 by: Kristin Beckley <br> Project Manager

## Email your PM >

Calscience Environmental Laboratories, Inc. (Calscience) certifies that the test results provided in this report meet all NELAC requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAC requirements are noted in the case narrative. The original report of subcontracted analyses, if any, is attached to this report. The results in this report are limited to the sample(s) tested and any reproduction thereof must be made in its entirety. The client or recipient of this report is specifically prohibited from making material changes to said report and, to the extent that such changes are made, Calscience is not responsible, legally or otherwise. The client or recipient agrees to indemnify Calscience for any defense to any litigation which may arise.
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## Work Order Narrative

## Condition Upon Receipt:

Samples were received under Chain of Custody (COC) on 05/22/13. They were assigned to Work Order 13-05-1604.
Unless otherwise noted on the Sample Receiving forms all samples were received in good condition and within the recommended EPA temperature criteria for the methods noted on the COC. The COC and Sample Receiving Documents are integral elements of the analytical report and are presented at the back of the report.

## Holding Times:

All samples were analyzed within prescribed holding times (HT) and/or in accordance with the Calscience Sample Acceptance Policy unless otherwise noted in the analytical report and/or comprehensive case narrative, if required.

Any parameter identified in 40CFR Part 136.3 Table II that is designated as "analyze immediately" with an immediate holding time (HT </= 15 minutes --40CFR-136.3 Table II footnote 4), is considered a "field" test and reported samples results are not flagged unless the analysis is performed beyond 24 hours of the time of collection.

## Quality Control:

All quality control parameters (QC) were within established control limits except where noted in the QC summary forms or described further within this report.

## Additional Comments:

Solid - Unless otherwise indicated, solid sample data is reported on a wet weight basis, not corrected for \% moisture. All QC results are always reported on a wet weight basis.

Vinyl Chloride exceeded QC criteria for \% recovery on the laboratory control spike analysis in batch 130522L01 on GCMS PP. The \% recovery on the laboratory control spike for this analyte was above the upper control limit of $122 \%$. Vinyl chloride met QC criteria for \% recovery on the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analysis in this batch and was non-detect (ND) for all associated samples.

## Subcontractor Information:

Unless otherwise noted below (or on the subcontract form), no samples were subcontracted.

| Client: | PSI | Work Order: | 13-05-1604 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | 6330 Gateway Drive, Suite B | Project Name: | Via Lido, Newport Bch. / 559 |
|  | Cypress, CA 90630-4844 | PO Number: |  |
|  |  | Date Received: | $05 / 22 / 13$ |
| Attn: | Eric Fraske |  |  |


| Sample Identification | Lab Number | Collection Date and Time | Number of <br> Containers | Matrix |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B1-5 | $13-05-1604-1$ | $05 / 22 / 1308: 50$ | 1 | Soil |
| B1-W | $13-05-1604-2$ | $05 / 22 / 1309: 10$ | 3 | Aqueous |
| B1-SG | $13-05-1604-3$ | $05 / 22 / 1310: 45$ | 1 | Air |
| B2-5 | $13-05-1604-4$ | $05 / 22 / 1309: 30$ | 1 | Soil |
| B2-W | $13-05-1604-5$ | $05 / 22 / 1309: 45$ | 3 | Aqueous |
| B2-SG | $13-05-1604-6$ | $05 / 22 / 1310: 50$ | 1 | Air |
| B3-5 | $13-05-1604-7$ | $05 / 22 / 1310: 05$ | 1 | Soil |
| B3-W | $13-05-1604-8$ | $05 / 22 / 1310: 25$ | 3 | Aqueous |
| B3-SG | $13-05-1604-9$ | $05 / 22 / 1310: 55$ | 1 | Air |


| PSI |  |  | Date Received: |  |  |  | 05/22/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6330 Gateway Drive, Suite B |  |  | Work Order: |  |  |  | 13-05-1604 |
| Cypress, CA 90630-4844 |  |  | Preparation: |  |  |  | N/A |
|  |  |  | Method: |  |  |  | EPA TO-15M |
|  |  |  | nits: |  |  |  | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m} 3$ |
| Project: Via Lido, Newport Bch. / 559 |  |  |  |  |  | Page 1 of 2 |  |
| Client Sample Number | Lab Sample Number | Date/Time Collected | Matrix | Instrument | Date Prepared | Date/Time Analyzed | QC Batch ID |
| B1-SG | 13-05-1604-3-A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 05/22/13 } \\ & 10: 45 \end{aligned}$ | Air | GC/MS AA | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 / 22 / 13 \\ & 16: 40 \end{aligned}$ | 130522L01 |

Comment(s): - The method has been modified to use Tedlar Bags instead of Summa canisters and is not NY NELAC accredited.

| Parameter |  | Result |  | $\underline{\text { RL }}$ | DF | Qualifiers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene |  | ND |  | 5.0 | 2.5 |  |  |
| c-1,2-Dichloroethene |  | ND |  | 5.0 | 2.5 |  |  |
| t-1,2-Dichloroethene |  | ND |  | 5.0 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Tetrachloroethene |  | ND |  | 8.5 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Trichloroethene |  | ND |  | 6.7 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Vinyl Chloride |  | ND |  | 3.2 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Surrogate |  | Rec. (\%) |  | Control Limits | Qualifiers |  |  |
| 1,4-Bromofluorobenzene |  | 102 |  | 57-129 |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 116 |  | 47-137 |  |  |  |
| Toluene-d8 |  | 102 |  | 78-156 |  |  |  |
| B2-SG | 13-05-1604-6-A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 / 22 / 13 \\ & 10: 50 \end{aligned}$ | Air | GC/MS AA | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 / 22 / 13 \\ & 17: 28 \end{aligned}$ | 130522L01 |

Comment(s): - The method has been modified to use Tedlar Bags instead of Summa canisters and is not NY NELAC accredited.

| Parameter | Result | $\underline{\text { RL }}$ | DF | Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND | 32 | 16 |  |
| c-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 32 | 16 |  |
| t-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 32 | 16 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND | 54 | 16 |  |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 43 | 16 |  |
| Vinyl Chloride | ND | 20 | 16 |  |
| Surrogate | Rec. (\%) | Control Limits | Qualifiers |  |
| 1,4-Bromofluorobenzene | 106 | 57-129 |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 107 | 47-137 |  |  |
| Toluene-d8 | 100 | 78-156 |  |  |

RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.


Comment(s): - The method has been modified to use Tedlar Bags instead of Summa canisters and is not NY NELAC accredited.

| Parameter |  | Result |  | $\underline{\mathrm{RL}}$ | DF |  | Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene |  | ND |  | 20 | 10 |  |  |
| c-1,2-Dichloroethene |  | ND |  | 20 | 10 |  |  |
| t-1,2-Dichloroethene |  | ND |  | 20 | 10 |  |  |
| Tetrachloroethene |  | ND |  | 34 | 10 |  |  |
| Trichloroethene |  | ND |  | 27 | 10 |  |  |
| Vinyl Chloride |  | ND |  | 13 | 10 |  |  |
| Surrogate |  | Rec. (\%) |  | Control Limits | Qualifiers |  |  |
| 1,4-Bromofluorobenzene |  | 105 |  | 57-129 |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 110 |  | 47-137 |  |  |  |
| Toluene-d8 |  | 100 |  | 78-156 |  |  |  |
| Method Blank | 099-12-981-3019 | N/A | Air | GC/MS AA | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 / 22 / 13 \\ & 15: 51 \end{aligned}$ | 130522L01 |
| Parameter |  | Result |  | $\underline{\mathrm{RL}}$ | DF |  | Qualifiers |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene |  | ND |  | 2.0 | 1 |  |  |
| c-1,2-Dichloroethene |  | ND |  | 2.0 | 1 |  |  |
| t-1,2-Dichloroethene |  | ND |  | 2.0 | 1 |  |  |
| Tetrachloroethene |  | ND |  | 3.4 | 1 |  |  |
| Trichloroethene |  | ND |  | 2.7 | 1 |  |  |
| Vinyl Chloride |  | ND |  | 1.3 | 1 |  |  |
| Surrogate |  | Rec. (\%) |  | Control Limits | Qualifiers |  |  |
| 1,4-Bromofluorobenzene |  | 95 |  | 57-129 |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 104 |  | 47-137 |  |  |  |
| Toluene-d8 |  | 98 |  | 78-156 |  |  |  |

RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

| PSI | Date Received: | $05 / 22 / 13$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 6330 Gateway Drive, Suite B | Work Order: | $13-05-1604$ |
| Cypress, CA 90630-4844 | Preparation: | EPA 5030C |
|  | Method: | EPA 8260B |
|  | Units: | ug/L |

Project: Via Lido, Newport Bch. / 559

## Analytical Report



RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

| PSI | Date Received: | $05 / 22 / 13$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 6330 Gateway Drive, Suite B | Work Order: | $13-05-1604$ |
| Cypress, CA 90630-4844 | Preparation: | EPA 5030C |
|  | Method: | EPA 8260B |
|  | Units: | ug/L |

Project: Via Lido, Newport Bch. / 559

## Analytical Report



RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

| PSI | Date Received: | $05 / 22 / 13$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 6330 Gateway Drive, Suite B | Work Order: | $13-05-1604$ |
| Cypress, CA 90630-4844 | Preparation: | EPA 5030C |
|  | Method: | EPA 8260B |
|  | Units: | ug/kg |

Project: Via Lido, Newport Bch. / 559
Page 1 of 2


RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

| PSI | Date Received: | $05 / 22 / 13$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 6330 Gateway Drive, Suite B | Work Order: | $13-05-1604$ |
| Cypress, CA 90630-4844 | Preparation: | EPA 5030C |
|  | Method: | EPA 8260B |
|  | Units: | ug/kg |

Project: Via Lido, Newport Bch. / 559
Page 2 of 2


RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.



| PSI | Date Received: | $05 / 22 / 13$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 6330 Gateway Drive, Suite B | Work Order: | $13-05-1604$ |
| Cypress, CA 90630-4844 | Preparation: | N/A |
|  | Method: | EPA TO-15M |
| Project: Via Lido, Newport Bch. /559 |  | Page 1 of 4 |


| Quality Control Sample ID |  | Matrix |  | Instrument |  | Date Prepared | Date Analyzed |  | LCS/LCSD Batch Number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 099-12-981-3019 |  | Air |  | GC/MS AA |  | N/A | 05/22/13 12:39 |  | 130522L01 |  |
| Parameter | Spike <br> Added | $\frac{\text { LCS }}{\text { Conc. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \text { \%Rec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCSD } \\ & \text { Conc. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCSD } \\ & \text { \%ReC. } \end{aligned}$ | \%Rec. CL | ME CL | RPD | RPD CL | Qualifiers |
| Acetone | 59.39 | 60.47 | 102 | 60.26 | 101 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 0 | 0-35 |  |
| Benzene | 79.87 | 78.19 | 98 | 80.14 | 100 | 60-156 | 44-172 | 2 | 0-40 |  |
| Benzyl Chloride | 129.4 | 148.2 | 115 | 148.1 | 114 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 0 | 0-35 |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | 167.5 | 173.6 | 104 | 175.1 | 105 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 1 | 0-35 |  |
| Bromoform | 258.4 | 291.1 | 113 | 286.9 | 111 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 1 | 0-38 |  |
| Bromomethane | 97.08 | 102.7 | 106 | 99.87 | 103 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 3 | 0-35 |  |
| 2-Butanone | 73.73 | 83.24 | 113 | 83.58 | 113 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 0 | 0-35 |  |
| Carbon Disulfide | 77.85 | 79.27 | 102 | 79.81 | 103 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 1 | 0-35 |  |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 157.3 | 166.1 | 106 | 165.4 | 105 | 64-154 | 49-169 | 0 | 0-32 |  |
| Chlorobenzene | 115.1 | 114.9 | 100 | 116.7 | 101 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 2 | 0-35 |  |
| Chloroethane | 65.96 | 58.55 | 89 | 57.12 | 87 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 2 | 0-35 |  |
| Chloroform | 122.1 | 121.2 | 99 | 121.8 | 100 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 1 | 0-35 |  |
| Chloromethane | 51.63 | 54.99 | 107 | 53.63 | 104 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 3 | 0-35 |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | 213.0 | 224.9 | 106 | 224.8 | 106 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 0 | 0-35 |  |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 123.6 | 126.0 | 102 | 121.4 | 98 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 4 | 0-35 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 101.2 | 101.6 | 100 | 102.6 | 101 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 1 | 0-35 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 99.12 | 100.5 | 101 | 100.8 | 102 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 0 | 0-35 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 192.1 | 203.1 | 106 | 203.4 | 106 | 54-144 | 39-159 | 0 | 0-36 |  |
| Dichlorotetrafluoroethane | 174.8 | 185.3 | 106 | 179.5 | 103 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 3 | 0-35 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 150.3 | 156.9 | 104 | 157.6 | 105 | 34-160 | 13-181 | 0 | 0-47 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 101.2 | 105.4 | 104 | 105.2 | 104 | 69-153 | 55-167 | 0 | 0-35 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 115.5 | 115.0 | 99 | 117.3 | 102 | 67-157 | 52-172 | 2 | 0-35 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 150.3 | 161.9 | 108 | 162.1 | 108 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 0 | 0-35 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 150.3 | 155.6 | 103 | 155.9 | 104 | 36-156 | 16-176 | 0 | 0-47 |  |
| c-1,3-Dichloropropene | 113.5 | 122.6 | 108 | 124.4 | 110 | 61-157 | 45-173 | 1 | 0-35 |  |
| c-1,2-Dichloroethene | 99.12 | 99.91 | 101 | 101.5 | 102 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 2 | 0-35 |  |
| t -1,2-Dichloroethene | 99.12 | 100.0 | 101 | 102.1 | 103 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 2 | 0-35 |  |
| t-1,3-Dichloropropene | 113.5 | 128.9 | 114 | 129.8 | 114 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 1 | 0-35 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 108.6 | 110.4 | 102 | 110.6 | 102 | 52-154 | 35-171 | 0 | 0-38 |  |
| 4-Ethyltoluene | 122.9 | 126.6 | 103 | 126.5 | 103 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 0 | 0-35 |  |
| Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene | 266.6 | 265.0 | 99 | 270.5 | 101 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 2 | 0-35 |  |
| 2-Hexanone | 102.4 | 109.7 | 107 | 109.5 | 107 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 0 | 0-35 |  |
| Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | 90.13 | 82.16 | 91 | 83.22 | 92 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 1 | 0-35 |  |
| Methylene Chloride | 86.84 | 82.52 | 95 | 83.39 | 96 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 1 | 0-35 |  |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 102.4 | 104.3 | 102 | 107.7 | 105 | 50-150 | 33-167 | 3 | 0-35 |  |
| o-Xylene | 108.6 | 111.1 | 102 | 110.3 | 102 | 52-148 | 36-164 | 1 | 0-38 |  |
| p/m-Xylene | 217.1 | 225.8 | 104 | 224.4 | 103 | 42-156 | 23-175 | 1 | 0-41 |  |



Total number of LCS compounds: 51
Total number of ME compounds: 0
Total number of ME compounds allowed: 3
LCS ME CL validation result: Pass

| alscience <br> nvironmental <br> aboratories, Inc. | Quality Control - LCS/LCSD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSI | Date Received: |  |  |  |  | 05/22/13 |
| 6330 Gateway Drive, Suite B | Work Order: |  |  |  |  | 13-05-1604 |
| Cypress, CA 90630-4844 | Preparation: |  |  |  |  | EPA 5030C |
|  | Method: |  |  |  |  | EPA 8260B |
| Project: Via Lido, Newport Bch. / 559 |  |  |  |  |  | Page 3 of 4 |
| Quality Control Sample ID | Matrix | Instrument | Date Analyzed | Lab File ID |  | LCS Batch Number |
| 099-14-001-11019 | Aqueous | GC/MS V V | 05/22/13 11:59 | 22MAY005.rr |  | 130522L01 |
| Parameter | Spike Added | Conc. Recovered | LCS \%Rec. | \%Rec. CL | ME CL | Qualifiers |
| Benzene | 50.00 | 47.65 | 95 | 80-120 | 73-127 |  |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 50.00 | 42.34 | 85 | 66-138 | 54-150 |  |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.00 | 53.20 | 106 | 80-120 | 73-127 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 50.00 | 48.99 | 98 | 80-120 | 73-127 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 50.00 | 53.28 | 107 | 80-120 | 73-127 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 50.00 | 49.54 | 99 | 80-129 | 72-137 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 50.00 | 42.65 | 85 | 71-131 | 61-141 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 50.00 | 48.89 | 98 | 80-123 | 73-130 |  |
| Toluene | 50.00 | 49.71 | 99 | 79-121 | 72-128 |  |
| Trichloroethene | 50.00 | 48.14 | 96 | 80-120 | 73-127 |  |
| Vinyl Chloride | 50.00 | 50.78 | 102 | 70-136 | 59-147 |  |
| p/m-Xylene | 100.0 | 95.47 | 95 | 75-125 | 67-133 |  |
| o-Xylene | 50.00 | 48.80 | 98 | 75-125 | 67-133 |  |
| Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | 50.00 | 42.56 | 85 | 72-126 | 63-135 |  |

Total number of LCS compounds: 14
Total number of ME compounds: 0
Total number of ME compounds allowed: 1
LCS ME CL validation result: Pass

| alscience <br> nvironmental aboratories, Inc. | Quality Control - LCS/LCSD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSI | Date Received: |  |  |  |  | 05/22/13 |
| 6330 Gateway Drive, Suite B | Work Order: |  |  |  |  | 13-05-1604 |
| Cypress, CA 90630-4844 | Preparation: |  |  |  |  | EPA 5030C |
|  | Method: |  |  |  |  | EPA 8260B |
| Project: Via Lido, Newport Bch. / 559 |  |  |  |  | Page 4 of 4 |  |
| Quality Control Sample ID | Matrix | Instrument | Date Analyzed | Lab File ID |  | LCS Batch Number |
| 099-12-796-7249 | Soil | GC/MS PP | 05/22/13 15:19 | 22MAY004.rr |  | 130522L01 |
| Parameter | Spike Added | Conc. Recovered | LCS \%Rec. | \%Rec. CL | ME CL | Qualifiers |
| Benzene | 50.00 | 50.09 | 100 | 78-120 | 71-127 |  |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 50.00 | 53.89 | 108 | 49-139 | 34-154 |  |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.00 | 53.05 | 106 | 79-120 | 72-127 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 50.00 | 50.69 | 101 | 80-120 | 73-127 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 50.00 | 54.32 | 109 | 75-120 | 68-128 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 50.00 | 53.13 | 106 | 80-120 | 73-127 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 50.00 | 54.94 | 110 | 74-122 | 66-130 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 50.00 | 54.91 | 110 | 76-120 | 69-127 |  |
| Toluene | 50.00 | 52.87 | 106 | 77-120 | 70-127 |  |
| Trichloroethene | 50.00 | 52.84 | 106 | 80-120 | 73-127 |  |
| Vinyl Chloride | 50.00 | 67.31 | 135 | 68-122 | 59-131 | X |
| $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{m}$-Xylene | 100.0 | 112.6 | 113 | 75-125 | 67-133 |  |
| o-Xylene | 50.00 | 58.15 | 116 | 75-125 | 67-133 |  |
| Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | 50.00 | 53.72 | 107 | 77-120 | 70-127 |  |

Total number of LCS compounds: 14
Total number of ME compounds: 0
Total number of ME compounds allowed: 1
LCS ME CL validation result: Pass

| Qualifiers | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| * | See applicable analysis comment. |
| < | Less than the indicated value. |
| > | Greater than the indicated value. |
| 1 | Surrogate compound recovery was out of control due to a required sample dilution. Therefore, the sample data was reported without further clarification. |
| 2 | Surrogate compound recovery was out of control due to matrix interference. The associated method blank surrogate spike compound was in control and, therefore, the sample data was reported without further clarification. |
| 3 | Recovery of the Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) compound was out of control due to matrix interference. The associated LCS and/or LCSD was in control and, therefore, the sample data was reported without further clarification. |
| 4 | The MS/MSD RPD was out of control due to matrix interference. The LCS/LCSD RPD was in control and, therefore, the sample data was reported without further clarification. |
| 5 | The PDS/PDSD or PES/PESD associated with this batch of samples was out of control due to a matrix interference effect. The associated batch LCS/LCSD was in control and, hence, the associated sample data was reported without further clarification. |
| 6 | Surrogate recovery below the acceptance limit. |
| 7 | Surrogate recovery above the acceptance limit. |
| B | Analyte was present in the associated method blank. |
| BU | Sample analyzed after holding time expired. |
| BV | Sample received after holding time expired. |
| E | Concentration exceeds the calibration range. |
| ET | Sample was extracted past end of recommended max. holding time. |
| HD | The chromatographic pattern was inconsistent with the profile of the reference fuel standard. |
| HDH | The sample chromatographic pattern for TPH matches the chromatographic pattern of the specified standard but heavier hydrocarbons were also present (or detected). |
| HDL | The sample chromatographic pattern for TPH matches the chromatographic pattern of the specified standard but lighter hydrocarbons were also present (or detected). |
| J | Analyte was detected at a concentration below the reporting limit and above the laboratory method detection limit. Reported value is estimated. |
| ME | LCS/LCSD Recovery Percentage is within Marginal Exceedance (ME) Control Limit range. |
| ND | Parameter not detected at the indicated reporting limit. |
| Q | Spike recovery and RPD control limits do not apply resulting from the parameter concentration in the sample exceeding the spike concentration by a factor of four or greater. |
| SG | The sample extract was subjected to Silica Gel treatment prior to analysis. |
| X | \% Recovery and/or RPD out-of-range. |
| Z | Analyte presence was not confirmed by second column or GC/MS analysis. |
|  | Solid - Unless otherwise indicated, solid sample data is reported on a wet weight basis, not corrected for \% moisture. All QC results are reported on a wet weight basis. |
|  | For any analysis identified as a "field" test with a holding time (HT) </= 15 minutes where the sample is received outside of HT, Calscience will adhere to its internal HT of 24 hours. In cases where sample analysis does not meet Calscience's internal HT, results will be appropriately qualified. |

Calscicnce mivironmental Latoratorics, Inc.
Other CA office locations: Concord and San Luis Obispo r courier service / sample drop off information,
contact sales@calscience.com or call us.
LABORATORY CLIENT:


## SAMPLE RECEIPT FORM Cooler 1 of 1

 CLIENT: PSI DATE: $05 / 22 / 13$TEMPERATURE: Thermometer ID: SC1 (Criteria: $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-6.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, not frozen except sediment/tissue) Temperature $\quad 3.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CF})=3.1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad \square$ Blank $\square$ SampleSample(s) outside temperature criteria (PM/APM contacted by: $\qquad$ ).Sample(s) outside temperature criteria but received on ice/chilled on same day of sampling.
Received at ambient temperature, placed on ice for transport by Courier.
Ambient Temperature: $Z$ Air
$\square$ Filter
Initial: $B C$

## CUSTODY SEALS INTACT:

| $\square$ Cooler | $\square$ | $\square$ No (Not Intact) | $\square$ Not Present | $\square$ N/A | Initial: V.C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Sample | $\square$ | $\square$ No (Not Intact) | $\square$ Not Present |  | Initial: A/f |

SAMPLE CONDITION:
Chain-Of-Custody (COC) document(s) received with samples...................
COC document(s) received complete..................................................
$\square$ collection date/time, matrix, and/or \# of containers logged in based on sample labels.
$\square$ No analysis requested. $\square$ Not relinquished. $\square$ No date/time relinquished.

Sampler's name indicated on COC.
Sample container label(s) consistent with COC
C.


| No | N/A |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $\square$ | $\square$ |

Sample container(s) intact and good condition. $\qquad$$\square^{\prime}$
Proper containers and sufficient volume for analyses requested.

$\qquad$ $\nabla$

> Analyses received within holding time.
$\qquad$■pH / Res. Chlorine / Diss. Sulfide / Diss. Oxygen received within 24 hours.. $\square$
Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container

$\qquad$ ..... $\square$
Unpreserved vials received for Volatiles analysis
Volatile analysis container(s) free of headspace.$\square$$D$

Tedlar bag(s) free of condensation. $\qquad$
CONTAINER TYPE:
Solid: $\square 40 z C G J, \square 8 o z C G J \square 160 z C G J Z S l e e v e(, \quad$ ) $) \square E n C o r e s{ }^{\circledR} \square$ TerraCores ${ }^{\circledR}$
 $\square 500 \mathrm{AGB} \square 500 \mathrm{AGJ} \square 500 \mathrm{AGJs} \square 250 \mathrm{AGB} \square 250 \mathrm{CGB} \square 250 \mathrm{CGBs} \square 1 \mathrm{~PB} \square 1 \mathrm{PBna} \square 500 \mathrm{~PB}$ $\square 250 \mathrm{~PB} \square 250 \mathrm{PBn} \square 125 \mathrm{~PB} \square 125 \mathrm{PBznna} \square 100 \mathrm{PJ} \square 100 \mathrm{PJna}{ }_{2} \square$ $\qquad$ $\square$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Air: $\not \subset$ Tedlar ${ }^{\circledR} \quad \square$ Canister Other: $\qquad$ Trip Blank Lot\#: $\qquad$ Labeled/Checked by: $\qquad$

## SAMPLE ANOMALY FORM

SAMPLES - CONTAINERS \& LABELS:Sample(s) NOT RECEIVED but listed on COCSample(s) received but NOT LISTED on COCHolding time expired - list sample ID(s) and testInsufficient quantities for analysis - list testImproper container(s) used - list test
$\square$ Improper preservative used - list testNo preservative noted on COC or label - list test \& notify labSample labels illegible - note test/container typeSample label(s) do not match COC - Note in comments
$\square$ Sample ID
$\square$ Date and/or Time Collected
$\square$ Project Information
$\square$ \# of Container(s)
$\square$ AnalysisSample container(s) compromised - Note in comments
$\square$ Water present in sample container
$\square$ BrokenSample container(s) not labeled
Air sample container(s) compromised - Note in commentsFlat
$\square$ Very low in volume$\square$ Leaking (Not transferred - duplicate bag submitted)
$\square$ Leaking (transferred into Calscience Tedlar ${ }^{\circledR}$ Bag*) $^{*}$ )
$\square$ Leaking (transferred into Client's Tedlar ${ }^{\circledR}$ Bag*) $^{*}$
$\square$ Other:
HEADSPACE - Containers with Bubble > 6 mm or $1 / 4$ inch:

| Sample \# | Container <br> ID(s) | \# of Vials <br> Received | Sample \# | Container ID(s) | \# of Vials <br> Received | Sample \# | Container <br> ID(s) | \# of Cont. <br> received | Analysis |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $A-C$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Comments: $\qquad$

[^0]Initial / Date: DC 05/22/13


[^0]:    *Transferred at Client's request.

